

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/534,550 | 05/09/2005 | Lee Simon . | SIM-1 | 7162 | |
| 63704 HESS PATEN' | 7590 09/13/2007 T LAW FIRM P C | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| HESS PATENT LAW FIRM, P.C. 9 MIRAMAR LANE | | | TRAN, H | TRAN, HANH VAN | |
| STAMFORD, CT 06902 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3637 | | |
| | | | | _ | |
| | 1 | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 09/13/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/534,550 | SIMON, LEE | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Hanh V. Tran | 3637 | | |

| | Hanh V. Tran | 3637 | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appo | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>23 July 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date. | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m | fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 706.07(f). | g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F | on. ILED WITHIN | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | o avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of se appeal. Since | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) | | jected claims. | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). | | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the | | | | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5, 12, 21, 24-33</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida | lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i | ot be entered s necessary and | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | | | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other: | | | | | | |
| | LANNA MAI | | | | | |
| SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER | | | | | | |
| T. | ECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 | _ | | | | |
| HVT | amamar | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office